AMERICA WAKE UP, Broadcast No.3

THE RED TRAP OF DISARMAMENT

ANNOUNCER: AMERICA WAKE UP, in cooperation with the Daughters of the American Revolution, State of Illinois, presents Phyllis Schlafly:

MRS. SCHLAFLY: The mightiest weapon in the Soviet arsenal is not the Red fleet of 400 submarines, not the 175 Soviet army divisions, not the hydrogen bomb warheads, not even the Soviet missile base on Cuba. It is the Red weapon of "disarmament".

On September 18, 1959 Khrushchev presented before the United Nations the Soviet proposal for "General and Complete Disarmament" in three stages; in the final stage, all military forces and nuclear weapons would be destroyed and everyone would be subject to the United Nations. Later that same fall, the principal Communist organ in America, POLITICAL AFFAIRS, boasted that one of the benefits of the Khrushchev visit to the United States in 1959 was that it made universal disarmament the chief subject of discussion. On January 6, 1961, Khrushchev revealed his purpose in pushing disarmament: "The fight for disarmament is an active fight against imperialism, for narrowing its war potential." The AP Moscow office called this speech "the most important document published in Moscow since World War II."

So effective has been the Red-inspired propaganda for disarmament that in September 1961, the United States State Department issued Publication 7277 entitled FREEDOM FROM WAR: THE UNITED STATES PROGRAM FOR GENERAL AND COMPLETE DISARMAMENT IN A PEACEFUL WORLD. According to this official policy statement of the State Department, the United States Government intends to abolish all our armed forces and nuclear weapons in three stages, at the end of which time we would be subject

to a "UN Peace Force." This plan to abolish our Army, Navy, Air Force and nuclear defenses, which is currently and strenuously promoted by the State Department and the U.S. Disarmament Agency, is essentially the same as Khrushchev's own disarmament program of 1959.

Communist disarmament propaganda achieved another significant success on April 18, 1962 when the United States announced in Geneva a specific proposal to implement the State Department program for "general and complete disarmament." Under the Geneva proposal, our country will be disarmed 30 per cent in three years, and totally disarmed in nine years, at which time we will be completely subject to a permanent "UN Peace Force." In an attempt to persuade the Soviets to sign this disarmament agreement, the United States made a dangerous concession: we agreed to accept Russia's word on the amount of arms and troops the Soviets have in service at the start of disarmament. Our State Department does not seem to realize that all Communists believe what Lenin taught, that "Promises are like piecrusts, made to be broken." The Soviet Union has broken 50 out of 52 major agreements it has made.

The waters of history are studded with the wrecks of countries which thought they could guarantee peace by disarmament. In ancient times, the Carthaginians tried to convince Rome of their sincere desire for peace by disarming, even while Cato (like Khrushchev today) was threatening destruction. After Carthage had unilaterally disarmed, the Roman legions attacked, destroyed the city, killed its men, sold its women and children into slavery, and a great nation disappeared from the face of the earth. Fortunately for Western civilization, the Greeks did not disarm in the face of the Persian peril, the Franks did not disarm when the Moslems invaded France in the 8th century, and Church

officials took the lead in arming the Western fleet which stopped the Turkish invaders at Lepanto.

It was not an armament race, but a disarmament race, which caused World War II. Because the British Empire and America disarmed under the influence of the Washington and London disarmament treaties and the Kellogg Anti-War Pact, the Japs, Nazis and Soviets knew that they were much better armed than the West, and could win great victories as soon as they triggered war. Belgium, Denmark, Holland and Norway thought they could avoid war with Hitler by a policy of strict neutrality and obsolete armaments. Instead, they invited attack.

The current drive for disarmament is part of the Communist effort to break our will to resist without fighting. Lenin and Mao Tse-tung were greatly influenced by the Chinese strategist Sun Tzu, who wrote in THE ART OF WAR: "Supreme excellence consists in breaking the enemy's resistance without fighting." To break the resistance of the West, the Communists founded the Lenin School of Political Warfare in Moscow, the Far Eastern University in Peiping, and the psychological warfare schools in Prague. The influence of the graduates of these word-warfare schools on Western intellectuals has been enormous. In 1958 Lord Bertrand Russell, prominent British Socialist and author of the book, WHY I AM NOT A CHRISTIAN, launched the "Rather Red than dead" psychology, advancing the theory that we should negotiate with the Communists, but we should let them know in advance that, if no agreement is reached, we will surrender rather than risk war.

In America, secret studies and conferences, participated in by U.S. officials, have discussed and advocated "strategic surrender" (which means surrender without a fight), recognition that "Communist

countries are here to stay" and "victory is no longer possible", and giving up our right to use SAC and nuclear weapons to the UN or NATO. Under relentless barrage from the Red word-warfare experts, our State Department has retreated from "massive retaliation" and "liberation", to "containment", to "peaceful coexistence" and the "spirit of Camp David", to "coalition" with Communists (as in Laos and the Congo). Our present defense strategy, cleverly camouflaged behind the largest military budget in peacetime history, is actually de-emphasizing nuclear weapons (which are the decisive weapons of today), and building up conventional military forces (a field in which we can never equal the one-billion manpower Communist bloc).

The psychological preparation for military disarmament has been achieved by the mental and moral disarmament which flowed from a Directive to the Pentagon known as the Fulbright Memorandum. Not only did this Directive prohibit military officers from educating their men about Communism, but it placed our military establishment under the strictures of an official refusal to be realistic about the facts of Communist advance.

In accord with this Memorandum, General Decker, Army Chief of Staff, was forbidden to explain to the Army chaplains on January 30, 1961 the falsity of the made-in-Moscow slogan, "Rather Red than dead." General Trudeau was forbidden to quote Khrushchev's statement "we will bury you" in a February 1, 1961 speech. Generals and admirals were forbidden to describe Communism as "vicious", or "treacherous", or a "threat", or to warn of the menace of "Soviet infiltration" or about "the Communist conspiracy directed toward absolute, universal domination of the world"; or to speak of "victory" or to say we must "emerge victorious". Our mili-

tary leaders are forbidden to refer to the anti-religious nature of Communism or to speak of Communism as "godless". Admiral Burke was not even permitted to quote this statement from a distinguished 19th century clergyman: "We are personally responsible for what we do -- that we have no means of shifting our responsibility and that dereliction of duty involves punishment."

Those who are forewarned are forearmed. Americans are fortunate to know in advance what happens to a country under control of the UN Peace Force. In the Congo last year the UN Peace Force, including Gurkha mercenaries, attacked hospitals, schools and civilians in order to force the anti-Communist, Christian government of Tshombe to surrender to the Gizenga-Adoula-Gbenye Communist coalition faction. There is no logical or factual basis for assuming that any future UN Peace Force -- to which the State Department is planning to subject American citizens -- would behave any differently.

Disarmament is a trap the Soviet psychological-warfare strategists have conceived in order to hasten the day when the Reds can bury us. The House Committee on Un-American Activities labelled the Communist peace offensive as: "The most dangerous hoax ever devised by the international Communist conspiracy." Captain Nikolai Artamanov, a brilliant former career officer of the Soviet Navy, told the House Committee on Un-American Activities: "I feel obliged to point out from the information which was available to me as a Soviet officer and Communist Party member that Soviet military strategy is inconsistent with Khrushchev's pronouncements on disarmament. Since February 1955, Soviet strategy has been based on the doctrine of surprise attack in nuclear warfare."

Disarmament advocates should realize that no sane person suggests

disarming the police in order to stop gangsters. Since the crimes of Khrushchev far exceed the crimes of the Capone and Dillinger gangs, it would be folly to disarm as long as Communist gangsters threaten the world. Any disarmament agreement signed by the Soviets would be as futile as the disarmament agreement signed at Panmunjom, which was quickly and repeatedly violated by the Reds, andwhose inspection provisions are still ignored. Just as a confirmed alcoholic is not cured by taking one more drink, so a confirmed promise-breaker is not cured by making one more promise.

Disarmament in the face of an enemy whose purpose is to destroy Western civilization is morally wrong, a betrayal of our children's right to live in a free and independent country, and a betrayal of our persecuted brethern behind the Iron Curtain who pray for liberation. George Washington, who illustrates that the national hero who is "First in the hearts of his countrymen" is both "First in war and first in peace", gave us this sage advice: "If we desire to secure peace ... it must be known that we are at all times ready for war."

American military power is the last best hope of the free world and of the 900 million slaves behind the Iron Curtain. Unless we reject all proposals for surrender or disarmament, even when cleverly disguised, we too will suffer the expropriation of our children (as in Cuba and China), famine (as in China), genocide (as in Tibet), slaughter of our clergy (as in the Congo), liquidation of anti-Communists and theft of our churches and property (as everywhere behind the Iron Curtain). It is essential to the survival of Western civilization, not only that we develop and test the decisive weapons of the nuclear missile age, but that we preserve our power of decision to use these

weapons in our defense, and that we affirm that our national policy shall be victory over Communism.

ANNOUNCER: At their annual meeting as long ago as 1925, the Daughters of the American Revolution passed a resolution which called attention to the "insidious" and "effective" Communist "peace" and "disarmament" propaganda, and then set up a campaign of "National Defense" to educate all Americans. For the past ~~37~~ 40 years, the DAR National Defense Committee has issued literature of the highest caliber and authenticity, alerting Americans to the inroads of Communist and Socialist propaganda. At least 10 minutes of every DAR Chapter meeting ~~is~~ are devoted to National Defense. Members and non-members alike may subscribe to the National Defense mailings at $2.50 per year. The DAR has always stood for a strong National Defense toward the end that we may "secure the blessings of liberty to ourselves and our posterity," as promised in the Preamble of the United States Constitution.

Listen in next week at this same time for another in the series of programs called AMERICA WAKE UP.

WOKZ Broadcast, October 28, 1962

WOKZ Broadcast, August 25, 1963