FABIAN INFLUENCE IN AMERICA

ANNOUNCER: AMERICA WAKE UP, in cooperation with the Daughters of the American Revolution, State of Illinois, presents Phyllis Schlafly.

MRS. SCHLAFLY: The same Marxian tree which produced Communism, Socialism and Nazism, also produced a fourth branch which originated with the Fabians, continued with the Keynesians, and whose present adherents have adopted the disguise of liberalism.

Fabianism began in England in the 1880's. The Fabian Society was an organization of Socialists, most of whom were educated and wealthy, with easy entree into intellectual, government, financial, social and academic circles. They were realistic enough to know that the English-speaking peoples would never follow an uncouth fanatic shouting Marxian revolution on a soapbox. Therefore, the Fabians gave Socialism a shave and a haircut. They adopted a veneer of respectability, combined with tactics of patience, of gradualism, and of penetration of existing political institutions. While extremists were barred, the Fabians were welcomed because they had the velvet glove approach. The Fabians understood, as the early Marxists did not, that it is much easier to subvert the sons, daughters and wives of the prominent and well-to-do, than it is to impress the laboring class. The clever artifice of feigning respectability, while at the same time subverting society for revolutionary purposes, had phenomenal success.

Within a few years, Fabianism was introduced into America at Harvard University, and from there spread through the academic world. British Fabians came to the United States to train Fabian leaders and to found the chief organ of Fabianism in America, the Intercollegiate Socialist Society. Among the big names active in their youth in the Intercollegiate Socialist Society at Harvard University were Walter

Lippmann, Louis Budenz, W. E. B. DuBois, Roger Baldwin and Stuart Chase.

By 1919 Fabians throughout the world had adopted the theories of John Maynard Keynes as their officially-accepted creed. Keynes was a British Socialist and atheist who believed that the government should control and regulate our whole lives. He did not even believe in private enterprise in running the family; but believed that the government must control the birth rate and break up the family as an independent and free unit. He wrote a number of books of which the best known is called THE GENERAL THEORY, in which he had the collaboration of an internationally-recognized Marxist. The average person would find Keynes' book a mass of confusing verbiage, but it has had enormous influence. In a nutshell, the Keynes theory isthis: (1) government should control and regulate every aspect of our lives, and (2) extravagance is the great virtue, and thrift and saving are great vices. You may think this is ridiculous, and it is; but this is the theory that is taught today, and has been taught for the last 25 years, in the economics departments of many of the universities of America.

There were some Fabians or Keynesians who were actually members of the Communist conspiracy, but who used Keynesian doubletalk as a cover for their Communist activities. The outstanding example is Harry Dexter White, who was dubbed America's chief Keynesian economist by none other than John Maynard Keynes himself, who was White's close personal friend. White parlayed his prestige as an economics professor at Harvard University into a position in the U.S. Treasury Department, and ultimately became the chief financial policymaker for the United States. White wasthe central figure in the Keynesian manipulations in the United States. He was the chairman of the Bretton Woods Conference and organized the International Monetary Fund. His Keynesian label was a con-

venient cover for his subrosa Soviet espionage. It was White who gave the Soviets American printing plates for printing occupation money which had to be redeemed by the United States Treasury. White was finally exposed as a Soviet espionage agent by the House Committee on Un-American Activities and J. Edgar Hoover.

Another Keynesian economics instructor who went from Harvard to the government, and ultimately rose to be an administrative assistant in the White House itself, was Lauchlin Currie. He also operated subrosa as a Soviet agent, and, when exposed, fled to South America outside the reach of a U.S. subpoena, but where he is now advising the Columbian government how to distribute the U.S. foreign aid to Columbia under the Alliance for Progress program.

Another category of Fabians or Keynesians consists of those who were not actual Communist conspirators, but who willingly let themselves be used as "transmission belts" by the Communists. A good example of this is Sidney and Beatrice Webb, the acknowledged leaders of the Fabian Society. The Webbs were pawned off on an unsuspecting world for more than 40 years as brilliant writers, astute thinkers, as rational and respectable people. In 1952 Igor Bogolepov, a former high official of the Soviet Foreign Office, testified that Sidney and Beatrice Webb had visited Soviet Russia in 1935 where they were given a two-volume work on the Soviet Union written by Bogolepov and other members of the Soviet Foreign Office, which the Webbs took back to England and published as their own books. In this way, the respectable Webbs were used as a transmission belt to sell Soviet propaganda.

The terms Fabian and Keynesian are now passe, out of date. But their theories are the prevailing theories in government and the academic community today. The elder statesmen of the Keynesians in America, Harvard Professors Alvin Hansen and Seymour Harris, who were in and

out of government offices during the New and Fair Deals, were proud to be called Keynesians. But the younger intellectuals who have followed in their footsteps, such as Harvard Professors J. Arthur Schlesinger, Jr. and J. Kenneth Galbraith who are prominent figures in the New Frontier, prefer to call themselves liberals. The Keynesians at American universities have trained a whole generation of liberals. Their chief organizational unit is the Americans for Democratic Action, which has become so powerful and influential that 40 of its members are high ranking policy-making officials in our government today. It is the frank theory of these liberals that the American people don't know how to spend this money, and that taxes should be raised so that the liberals can wisely spend it for us. If Patrick Henry thought taxation without representation was bad, he should see taxation with representation, such as we have today. It is a good rule of thumb that, whatever the issue, the present-day liberals are always on the side of spending more money and increasing the power of government.

Most liberals are sincere, patriotic Americans. They are not Communists; they are not part of the Communist conspiracy. Most of them don't even think of themselves as Socialists. But it is almost impossible for liberals to provide effective leadership or action against Communism because the liberals have accepted so many of the fundamental premises of the Marxists. This is easy to prove by taking the Communist Manifesto laid down by Marx and Engels in 1848, and noting how many of the ten points are subscribed to by the liberals, such as the "heavy progressive income tax". Take, for example, Arthur Schlesinger, Jr. one of the leading liberals, a founder of the ADA, and now a presidential assistant. On a University of Chicago Roundtable broadcast entitled "What is Communism?" Schlesinger said this: "Surely the class struggle

is going on in America. I would agree completely with the Communists on that." Schlesinger was then asked: "Do you mean that capitalism is dead everywhere except in the United States?" Schlesinger replied: "It is dead. It died off itself. There is much to what the Marxists used to say about capitalism containing the 'seeds of its own destruction.'" Now these theories about the "class struggle" and "capitalism containing the seeds of its own destruction" are pure Communist propaganda originated by Karl Marx; and Schlesinger's own words prove that he has fallen for these fallacies which have no basis in historical fact. There is no class struggle in America except that which is fomented by the Communists. Capitalism contains the seeds of its own regeneration, whereas Socialism contains the seeds of its own destruction. The countries which chose free enterprise after World War II, such as Germany and Japan, today have a healthier economy and more prosperity than ever in their history; whereas, the countries which chose Socialism, such as England, have a declining standard of living and continued austerity, in spite of heavy transfusions of American aid.

Because they have accepted so many Marxian premises, the liberals have a deplorable record of being deceived by the Communists and of being taken in by Red propaganda. It is a matter of history that the liberals favored the Communists in Spain in 1936, the Communists in Poland in 1945, the Communists in China in 1948, the Communists in Cuba in 1958, and the Communists in the Congo in 1961. The liberals were completely fooled by Communist propaganda that Soviet Russia was a "peace-loving democracy". One of the country's leading liberals, Ambassador to Russia Joseph Davies, wrote this in 1941 in his best-selling book MISSION TO MOSCOW: "Stalin is decent and clean-living.... His brown eye is exceedingly kindly and gentle. A child would like to sit in his lap and a dog would sidle up to him." On June 11, 1948, one of

the most prominent American liberals said: "I like old Joe Stalin; he's a decent fellow." Now the truth of the matter is that Stalin was so indecent and his crimes were so foul that even the Butcher of Budapest couldn't stand having Stalin's body around the Kremlin, and had it disinterred.

The liberals were fooled again by the Chinese Communists and confidently told us they were just "agrarian reformers" and "Jeffersonian democrats". Even after these "agrarian reformers" turned out to be militant Communists who abolished the institution of the family and put the Chinese people into communes, the liberals still didn't learn. The liberals such as Edward R. Murrow and Jack Parr, were fooled all over again by Castro, and confidently spread the myth that Castro was just an agrarian reformer, a sincere idealist. The NEW YORK TIMES called Castro a Robin Hood and "the Abraham Lincoln of Cuba." Ed Sullivan put Castro on his Sunday evening television program and called him the "George Washington of Cuba." On December 2, 1961, Castro publicly boasted in a lengthy speech that he has been a dedicated Communist ever since 1953, but he concealed his views in order to deceive the liberals whose support he needed. On November 8, 1961, President Kennedy said: "If (the Soviet Union) fooled us once, it is their fault; if they fool us twice, it is our fault." Well, the Communists have fooled the liberals again and again and again, and still they do not learn. More recently, the liberals supported Communist advance in Laos and the Congo by forcing the anti-Communists to join a coalition government with known Communists holding key positions -- a policy which always means ultimate Communist control. On the record, Americans cannot depend on the liberals to exercise leadership in the struggle against Communism, which J. Edgar Hoover has called "the major menace of our time."

ANNOUNCER: One of the committees of the Daughters of the American Revolution which operates on the national, state and local levels, is the Transportation Committee. This Committee works in every community to promote Traffic Safety through distribution of literature, sponsorship of programs, arrangement of displays, and cooperation with local authorities. Many chapters sponsor safe-driving schools, radio and television programs on traffic safety, and safety advertisements in local newspapers. Many DAR members serve on local Safety Councils. Each year DAR Transportation Committees arrange dozens of pilgrimages to historic sites.

Listen in again next week at this same time for another in the series of programs called AMERICA WAKE UP.

WOKZ Broadcast, June 30, 1963.