AMERICA WAKE UP, Broadcast No.38

INTERVIEW WITH DR. FRED C. SCHWARZ

ANNOUNCER: AMERICA WAKE UP, in cooperation with the Daughters of the American Revolution, State of Illinois, presents Phyllis Schlafly:

MRS. SCHLAFLY: It is a great pleasure to present to the listeners of AMERICA WAKE UP today one of the world's authorities on Communism, Dr. Fred C. Schwarz. Dr. Schwarz, would you tell our listeners if you think the chief Communist threat is internal or external?

DR. SCHWARZ: That is a question that I am frequently asked and I find it very difficult to answer. To me it is like being asked, if you are in a ship at sea, and it is torpedoed, which do you need to worry about, the water inside or the water outside. I think there is unity between the two of them, and since the internal Communist Party is the bridgehead of the external Communist conspiracy that has conquered a billion people, each of them magnifies the malignancy of the other, and I don't see how you can differentiate the two.

MRS. SCHLAFLY: We hear a great deal now about the Sino-Soviet split. Do you think this rift is real or phony, and do you think it is a good thing for the United States?

DR. SCHWARZ: You see, the assumption is that, if there is an argument between the Russian Communists and the Chinese Communists, it will automatically work to our advantage. This assumption needs a certain amount of examination. I'll admit that there is an argument between the Communists of Russia and China, but the argument is merely concerning the best way to conquer the United States. The very intensity of the argument is an indication of their mutual devotion to the objective. Each side is so devoted to the conquest of the United States that they tend to get a little bit angry with the other side because they say that they have the wrong program and their program will delay conquest.

It is rather a well-established doctrine that competition increases efficiency. Since both the Russian and Chinese Communists have to be very, very efficient and prove that their program is the best in their effort to capture the allegiance of the young Communists of the world, this can sharpen up the entire Communist program for our conquest. If you asked me what the argument is about, the argument is concerning the best way to conquer this country. The Chinese Communists want to conquer it from the textbook; the Russian Communists work in relation to the present situation. The Chinese Communist Party wants the American Communists to lead the oppressed rebellious workers in violent overthrow of the Kennedy Administration. The Russian Communists want the American Communist Party to identify themselves more with the Liberal policies of the present administration, to become respectable, so that when the encirclement has proceeded to a certain extent and internal demoralization has likewise proceeded, surrender to the American Communist Party won't seem so bad. So the Russian Communist program is realistic; it is working; it is in tune with the forces operating within the world. The Chinese Communist program is based on a fantasy, and the amazing thing is we have been diverted from the real angle which is the Communist program of Khrushchev and Russia, to the alleged danger of Mao-tse-Tung when his program is entirely based on fantasy.

MRS. SCHLAFLY: Would you say that the tactic of peaceful coexistence is one of the principle tactics of Russian Communism?

DR. SCHWARZ: The entire program is peaceful coexistence. Here we run against the problem that words mean different things to different people. Most Americans think of peaceful coexistence as the continued side-by-side existence of the Communists over in Russia and China, and although we don't like it, we have to admit that they have conquered the satellite countries of Eastern Europe, and we had better let them keep them be-

cause otherwise we might stimulate a thermonuclear war; so, provided they keep over in their part of the world, we will be happy and we will keep in our part of the world, and we can exist side-by-side indefinitely. This program is somewhat ignoble and immoral, but it might be practical if that happened to be the policy of the Communists. However, that doesn't happen to be their policy at all because, to the Communists, peaceful coexistence means a dynamic program of conquest. It means a program to conquer the United States without thermonuclear destruction, and it is working very well. The formula of peaceful coexistence is: encirclement plus demoralization equals progressive surrender. Since the Communists are Communists, and since this is what they mean by peaceful coexistence, we have no other alternative except to understand it and defeat their program, or in turn to be conquered by it.

MRS. SCHLAFLY: We hear a great deal about the easing of tensions. Don't you think it is possible that we are going into a new era where the Communists will get along with us and renounce their goal of conquest?

DR. SCHWARZ: Well, when you say "Is it possible?" I would say that most things are possible, but we don't build our programs on "possibility". It is possible, for example, that the Architect of the Universe may change the law of gravity. If anyone asked me to prove that He wouldn't, I would be faced with a very great difficulty -- I wouldn't know how I would go about it. But when I go out to the airport to get on the airplane to take me somewhere, I don't want this pilot to base his program on the possibility of a change in the law of gravity; I want him to be a fanatical believer in the continuity in the law of gravity. Similarly, it is possible, I guess, that the Communists could renounce Marxism, that they could renounce Leninism, that they could destroy all the books that they have written, that they no longer be-

lieve in dialectic materialism, historical materialism; but the probability of this happening is somewhat akin to the probability of a change in the law of gravity. I think all rational problems should be based on the assumption that the Communists believe their doctrines, that they mean business and, just as the aeronautical scientist studies the law of gravity and understands it and overcomes it or utilizes it, so a study of the nature of Communism may enable us to even utilize their own doctrines for their own defeat.

MRS. SCHLAFLY: One question we hear frequently is, should we teach about Communism in the schools. Do you think we should teach it in the schools? If so, should we teach it objectively?

DR. SCHWARZ: I have been contending for many years that we should teach Communism in the schools. But when people say we should teach it objectively, I am always suspicious that they don't know what they are talking about, because everything is taught within a philosophy, within a framework. It was said of Socrates that he saw things steadily, saw them whole, saw them as a unity. Now, most things, if you break them into their component parts, you will find that some are good and some are bad. They tell me that if you are bitten by a rattlesnake, 13% of the venom is toxic and 87% is nutritional. So maybe, if we teach it objectively, we could teach people to go out and be bitten by a rattlesnake because 87% of it is good for you. It is a little unfortunate that 13% kills you and so you can't appreciate for very long the nutritional value of the 87%. Now, obviously, everything must be taught within a framework. A mother doesn't leave a child to make up its own mind whether lying is good, and stealing is good, and cleanliness is good. A teacher doesn't leave the child to make up its mind as to whether 2 and 2 are 4, or 2 and 2 are 5; and we don't leave people to make up their mind as to whether cruelty is good or evil. We believe

that slavery is evil and freedom is good. Since Communism is a system of slavery, tyranny of the deepest dye, it should be taught as a system of evil, and the good features of Communism should be likened to the bait that is on the hook: the better the bait, the greater is the evil of the baited hook. The good quality of the bait simply magnifies the evil of the unity.

MRS. SCHLAFLY: Where does the Communist Party of the United States fit into the picture? Are they a real danger?

DR. SCHWARZ: This is what the argument is about between Russia and China. The Chinese want the Communist Party to be a traditional revolutionary party attacking the Kennedy Administration, working for the violent overthrow of the government. But the Russians want the American Communist Party to become as respectable as possible so that they can be the administrative agent of surrender. Since they are the bridgehead, the advance guard of a force which has conquered a billion people, which has killed millions, which has covered the earth with refugees, which is atheistic, materialistic, cruel, the American Communist Party must be regarded as a very serious and a very evil thing.

MRS. SCHLAFLY: How have the Communists been so successful in improving the image of the Communist Party?

DR. SCHWARZ: They understand the techniques of propaganda, and I just gasped with admiration at what they have been able to do with regard to Khrushchev. Now this is inconceivable, this is the guy who announced to all the world that anyone who broke the atomic testing moratorium would cover himself with eternal shame and infamy; whereupon he exploded 50-plus megaton thermonuclear bombs in the atmosphere. He put missiles in Cuba and brought the world to the brink of thermonuclear war. He was reproved, by the way, by Mao tse-Tung for putting the missiles there, not for taking them out. He said that if anyone inter-

feres, if America takes any positive action in Cuba, he threatens thermonuclear destruction, and by doing all this, he wins the reputation of a man of moderation and deep devotion to peace. It is unbelieveable; it is incredible! And yet everyone thinks that he is the moderate and the real danger is Mao tse-Tung, the man who reproved him for putting the missiles in Cuba, and the man who hasn't got any thermonuclear bombs, who can't even "liberate" Matsu and Quemoy. It just indicates the brilliance of the Communist propaganda and it suggests our incredible stupidity.

MRS. SCHLAFLY: One more quick question. Do you think the Communists plan to capture the United States by military means?

DR. SCHWARZ: No. Why should they? Their doctrine told them they are going to get it intact. The evidence that they have conquered a billion now, they are making progress every day, assures them that they are going to get it intact, and that they are going to get it soon. Since they are very well aware of the Americans' magnificent homes, highways and schools, churches and bridges -- they want them intact. So they don't choose thermonuclear war as a method of choice. Their method is progressive surrender.

MRS. SCHLAFLY: Thank you so much for being with us today.

ANNOUNCER: The Daughters of the American Revolution have many activities and have rendered exceptional service in the field of youth work. Each year the DAR awards thousands of Good Citizens Pins to outstanding senior high school girls. In order to promote patriotism, Good Citizenship Medals are also awarded to thousands of deserving boys and girls of all ages. The DAR sponsors many chapters of the Children of the American Revolution, a national organization with the same requirements and objectives as the DAR. All over the United States, the DAR sponsors Junior American Citizens Clubs where good citizenship and

Americanism are taught to boys and girls of all ages, races and creeds. The DAR makes substantial financial gifts each year to many schools, and is the principal financial gifts each year to many schools, for under-privileged students: Kate Duncan Smith DAR School in Alabama and Tamassee DAR School in South Carolina. The DAR gives many college loans and scholarships to deserving students. In order to promote the study of American history, the DAR each year awards thousands of history medals and sponsors history essay contests in the schools, especially in grades 5 through 8.

Listen in again next week at this same time for another in the series of programs called AMERICA WAKE UP.

WOKZ Broadcast, October 26, 1963.