from Phyllis Schlafly

October 16, 1993

MEN, LEARN A LESSON ABOUT FEMINISTS

Two news stories reported on the same day reveal the double standards of the feminists as well as their extraordinary power within the Clinton Administration.

One item reported Navy Secretary John H. Dalton's announcement that the Navy's top officer, Chief of Naval Operations Admiral Frank B. Kelso 2d, will have to take the fall for the Tailhook incident of two years ago. The case against the younger officers who were accused of ind ent exposure and assault has fallen apart, and so the Navy, in panic and full retreat in the face of feminist fury, decided to pin the blame on Kelso and 12 other admirals, none of whom committed the offenses or was even present during the alleged actions.

Kelso has thus been taught a bitter lesson about feminist vindictiveness and lack of gratitude. Since the Tailhook incident of September 1991, Kelso has been the most obsequious of all senior officers in acquiescing to Rep. Pat Schroeder (O-CO) and the other feminists who are demanding that Navy women be assigned to military combat jobs. He led the campaign within the armed services to implement their demands that women be assigned to fighter aircraft and all combat vessels.

Kelso never dared to point out the total non sequitur involved in the argument "Tailhook , ergo women in combat." Kelso never asked any common sense questions such as, If Navy women can't handle themselves in the company of some friendly drunks in a Las Vegas hotel, how can they survive in combat against the sorts of sadistic enemies our troops have fought during our lifetime?

But carrying water for these outrageous demands didn't save Admiral Kelso from the warwhooping feminists who are demanding scalps,

any scalps, for Tailhook. When information surfaced that the case against the junior male officers was collapsing for lack of evidence, and that the feminists' lead witness Paula Coughlin allegedly participated in the leg-shaving events and then fingered the wrong man for court martial, the feminists demanded that heads roll anyway, and Kelso was the easiest target.

You see, to the feminists, it doesn't matter whether or not they prosecute the right man. In their view, since all men are guilty of "sexism" and are "potential rapists," it's okay to lynch any man they ca catch.

That's the same kind of non-logic that led the feminists to argue during the Clarence Thomas confirmation hearings that, since some women have been sexually harassed, therefore, Thomas should not be confirmed. If you don't get the connection, you just don't understand feminism.

Side by side with the Kelso article in most newspapers was the news about the Justice Department report on the fire that burned to death most of the members of a cult in Waco, Texas on April 19. The Department's report criticizes mid- and lower-level Federal agents who recommended the assault in which armored vehicles punched holes in David Koresh's building and filled it with gas, instead of simply arresting Koresh on one of the many times when he openly left the compound.

But the Justice Department report completely cleared senior FBI officials and Attorney General Janet Reno, even though it was she who ordered the assault that proved fatal to some 85 people, including women and children. It was one of the deadliest law-enforcement operations in memory, and perhaps in history.

Pardon me. Didn't we all see Reno on national television, soon after the Waco debacle, **wiping** a tear from her eye as she admitted that

she made the fatal decision? You bet we did; but the report said she didn't make any "significant mistakes."

So, what do you think is the reason why, on the very same day, the top dog of the Navy (Frank Kelso) is told to walk the plank for the unauthorized actions of his subordinates, while the top dog of the Justice Department (Janet Reno) is not even reprimanded for her own tragic decision that proved fatal to 85 people? The answer is pretty obvious: he's a man and she's a woman.

More precisely, she's a feminist who is part of Hillary Clinton's ru i n g clique, and he's a man who, having done all he could for the feminists as Navy Chief, is forced to serve the feminist cause additionally by being disgraced.

The same day, most newspapers carried pictures of Ruth Bader Ginsburg taking her seat on the Supreme court. At her confirmation hearings, no member of the Senate Judiciary Committee scraped up enough nerve to ask this radical feminist about her bizarre published writings, such as her demands that the laws against statutory rape and prostitution be repealed, that prisons be sex-integrated, that the age of sexual consent be reduced to age 12.

The big news of the week was actually Hillary Clinton's health care debut on Capitol Hill. But the Congressmen didn't ask her any tough questions, either; they were too busy posing for pictures.

When are we going to have some real men in Congress who will treat Hillary, Ginsburg and Reno like a man? And ask them the same tough questions they would ask a man?